

JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR04-5699FDB
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
vs.)	MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
)	DATE
WENDY MILAN-LEWIS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Upon the stipulation of the parties to continue the trial date in the above-captioned case, the Court finds that a failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a continuance in this case, that a continuance is necessary to insure effective trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a more speedy trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the trial date in this case be continued from May 2, 2005 to August 8, 2005.

//

//

//

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION
TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of delay resulting from this
2 continuance from May 2, 2005 up to and including the new trial date of August 8, 2005,
3 is hereby excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

4 DONE this 21st day of April, 2005.

5
6 /s/ Franklin D Burgess
7 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 Presented By:

10 /s/
11 Jerome Kuh
Attorney for Defendant

/s/
Janet Freeman
Assistant United States Attorney